Case 3:10-cv-04626-JSC Document 94 Filed 01/30/12 Page 1 of 3 1 WILLIAM L. VEEN, NO. 043150 THE VEEN FIRM, P.C. 2 711 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220 San Francisco, CA 94102 3 P.O. Box 7296 San Francisco, CA 94120-7296 4 Telephone: (415) 673-4800 Facsimile: (415) 771-5845 5 ROBERT B. ABEL, JR., NO. 141405 LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT B. ABEL, IR. 6 The Standard Oil Building 7 225 Bush Street, 16th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 8 Telephone: (415) 461-5400 Facsimile: (415) 439-8853 9 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS RUTHELLEN HARRIS, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF 10 ROBERT JEAN HARRIS, HEATHER HARRIS, JAMIE HARRIS AND GREG HARRIS 11 12 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 RUTHELLEN HARRIS, individually and as 16 CASE NO. CV-10-04628CW personal representative of ROBERT JEAN STIPULATION TO CHANGE TIME 17 HARRIS, HEATHER HARRIS, JAMIE HARRIS and GREG HARRIS, AND ORDER 18 Plaintiffs, Local Rule 6-2 19 Complaint Filed: October 13, 2010 v. 20 Trial Date: June 4, 2012 COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION, 21 WAREHOUSE DEMO SERVICES, INC., CARGILL MEAT SOLUTIONS 22 CORPORATION, FRESH CHOICE INTERNATIONAL, LLC and DOES 1 – 100, 23 inclusive, 24 Defendants. 25 All parties agree to continue the hearing and briefing schedule on the separate motions for 26 summary judgment of defendant Costco Wholesale Corporation ("Costco") and defendant 27 Warehouse Demo Services Inc., ("WDS") by thirty (30) days, or such other date as is convenient for 28

the Court.

2012.

Defendant WDS filed its Motion on January 24, 2012 (Docket No. 87), with a hearing set for March 1, 2012. Plaintiffs' opposition is due February 7, 2012, and WDS's reply is due February 14, 2012. Defendant Costco filed its Motion on January 26, 2012 (Docket No. 90), with a hearing date of

March 1, 2012. Plaintiffs' opposition is due February 9, 2012, Costco's reply is due February 16,

A. LR 6-2(a)(1): The Reasons For The Requested Enlargement Or Shortening Of Time.

The reasons for the proposed modifications are the inability of plaintiffs' counsel to prepare and file opposition briefs to the two motions due February 7 and 9, based on: (1) pre-paid previously scheduled out-of-state travel and depositions in the next two weeks; (2) that certain deposition transcripts required for the oppositions have not yet been finalized by the court reporters; (3) Plaintiffs noticed the deposition of WDS/Costco employee Tamika Grisez for July 20, 2011, December 7, 2011, and most recently, February 6, 2012. Plaintiff had difficulty locating and serving this former WDS employee; and (4) Plaintiffs took the deposition of WDS employee Deanna Gehrett on January 20, 2012. Based on that deposition, plaintiffs propounded new requests for documents on January 20, 2012, necessary to verify the time the various WDS employees worked on the accident date. WDS's responses are due on February 24, 2012.

B. LR 6-2(a)(2): All Previous Time Modifications In The Case.

The trial date and pretrial conference have never been modified. Previous time modifications in the case include changing deadlines for: (1) completion of mediation (from October 19, 2011 to January 31, 2012); (2) expert disclosures (from November 1, 2011, to February 1, 2012); (3) rebuttal experts (from December 1, 2011, to March 1, 2012); (4) completion of non-expert discovery (to May 4, 2012); (5) completion of expert discovery (to May 18, 2012).

C. LR 6-2(a)(3): The Effect The Requested Time Modification Would Have On The Schedule For The Case.

This requested time modification will have no effect on the schedule for the case.

Based on the foregoing, the parties respectfully request the Court continue the hearings and briefing schedule as set forth above.

	Case 3:10-cv-04626-JSC Document	94	Filed 01/30/12 Page 3 of 3
1	Respectfully Submitted,		
2	DATED: January 27, 2012	THE	E VEEN FIRM, P.C.
3			
4		By:	/s/
5			Anthony L. Label Attorneys for Plaintiffs
6	D. A. H. D. A. G.	DED	DV JOINGON ANDERSON MILLER S
7	DATED: January 27, 2012	PERRY, JOHNSON, ANDERSON, MILLER & MOSKOWITZ, LLP, LLP	
8			
9		By:	/s/
10			Oscar Pardo, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant Warehouse Demo
11			Services, Inc.
12	DATED: January 27, 2012	CROSBY & ROWELL, LLP	
13			
14		By:	/s/ Thomas C. Crosby, Esq.
15			Amy M. Kimmel, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant Costco Wholesale
16			Corporation Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost
17			
18	Order:		
19	The hearings on Motions for Summary Judgment of Defendant Warehouse Demo Services,		
20	Inc., and Defendant Costco Wholesale Corporation, and the further case management		
21	conference are continued to April 5, 2012, 2:00 p.m Oppositions are due March 9, 2012. Reply		
22 23	Briefs are due March 16, 2012. This hearing date may not allow sufficient time for ruling on		
24	the motions before the pretrial preparations must begin, and thus may necessitate		
25	continuing the trial date.		
26	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED		
27		_	Claric Asille
28	DATED: January <u>30</u> , 2012	By:_	Hon. Claudia Wilken
-			United Stated District Judge
ı	1		

THE VEEN FIRM, P.C.
711 VANNESS AVE., SUITE 220
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
Tel. (415) 673-4800
www.veenfirm.com